

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,436	04/20/2001	Clark TC. Nguyen	UOM0233PUS	3445
7:	590 . 02/07/2003			
David R. Syrowik Brooks & Kushman P.C. 22nd Floor			EXAMINER	
			DOUGHERTY	THOMAS M
1000 Town Center Southfield, MI 48075-1351			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 02/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		N. C.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/839,436	NGUYEN, CLARK TC.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas M. Dougherty	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatie  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rejon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1 <u>01/07/03</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.</li> </ol>	48) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Application/Control Number: 09/839,436

Art Unit: 2834

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 01/07/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The present application has different claims than the parent application now USP 6,249,073. The art cited reads on the claims as detailed in the Final Rejection of paper 6. While inventiveness has been described in the claims of the parent case, that is not true in this case. No arguments or amendments have been offered to overcome or dissuade the Examiner of the efficacy of paper 6.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5, 7-9, 11, 13, 15 and 19-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Nguyen et al. article "Design and Performance of CMOS Micromechanical Resonator Oscillators". See paper 6 for the body of the rejection which remains the same.

Claims 1-13 and 15-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Lin et al. (US 5,537,083). See paper 6 for the body of the rejection which remains the same.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/839,436

Art Unit: 2834

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin et al. (US 5,537,083) in view of either ordinary skill in thea rt or Ella (US 6,278,342). See paper 6 for the body of the rejection which remains the same.

#### Conclusion

This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application of the same Application

Number. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application

and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office

action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS

MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Direct inquiry concerning this action to Examiner Dougherty at (703) 308-1628.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/839,436

Art Unit: 2834

tmd

February 5, 2003

Home In. Day Let